SAMPLE REPORT

MMPI® 3
Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory®-3

Case Description: Mr. J. – Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center Interpretive Report

Mr. J. is a 44-year-old divorced man assessed at intake for services at a community mental health center following a brief stay at a crisis stabilization unit. Mr. J. was taken to the stabilization unit by law enforcement personnel after a serious suicide attempt involving vehicular carbon monoxide poisoning. He had been involved in very contentious divorce- and child custody-related proceedings for two years prior to this attempt. In addition to having a conflictual relationship with his ex-wife, Mr. J. was estranged from his two teenage children, and he had minimal sources of social support. His only prior contact with a mental health professional involved a child custody evaluation conducted two years prior to the current assessment. Mr. J.'s ex-wife was granted full custody minus planned bi-weekly visitations with Mr. J.

The worker who conducted Mr. J's intake interview described him as depressed, despondent, tearful, and withdrawn. He was characterized as speaking in a monotone and giving laconic responses to questions he was asked. He was fully oriented and showed no signs of thought disturbance. No significant history of acting out behavior was elicited. Mr. J. acknowledged continuing suicidal ideation but denied current intent. He was diagnosed with a Major Depressive Disorder, Severe with Melancholic Features and accepted for treatment in an intensive outpatient program.

Case descriptions do not accompany MMPI-3 reports, but are provided here as background information. The following report was generated from Q-global™, Pearson's web-based scoring and reporting application, using Mr. J.'s responses to the MMPI-3. Additional MMPI-3 sample reports, product offerings, training opportunities, and resources can be found at **PearsonAssessments.com/MMPI-3**.

Pearson

MMPI®-3

Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory®-3

Interpretive Report: Clinical Settings

MMPI®-3

Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory®-3

Yossef S. Ben-Porath, PhD, & Auke Tellegen, PhD

ID Number:	Mr. J
Age:	44
Gender:	Male
Marital Status:	Not reported
Years of Education:	Not reported
Date Assessed:	08/01/2020

[1.0 / RE1 / QG1]

MMPI-3 Validity Scales



	CRIN	VRIN	TRIN	F	Fp	Fs	FBS	RBS	L	K
Raw Score:	2	1	14	8	1	1	9	8	0	2
T Score:	39	39	54T	66	50	47	51	58	36	38
Response %:	93	93	94	**89**	100	100	97	96	93	100

Cannot Say (Raw): 13

Comparison Group Data: Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men), N = 233

	CRIN	VRIN	TRIN	F	Fp	Fs	FBS	RBS	L	K
Mean Score (◇- - -◇):	54	53	52T	67	58	59	59	62	50	42
Standard Dev (±1 SD):	8	9	12	15	14	16	11	13	8	8
Percent scoring at or below test taker:	4	7	58	55	46	38	31	48	5	43

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.

CRIN Combined Response Inconsistency
VRIN Variable Response Inconsistency
TRIN True Response Inconsistency

F Infrequent Responses
Fp Infrequent Psychopathology Responses
Fs Infrequent Somatic Responses
FBS Symptom Validity Scale
RBS Response Bias Scale

L Uncommon Virtues
K Adjustment Validity

MMPI-3 Higher-Order (H-O) and Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales



	EID	THD	BXD	RCd	RC1	RC2	RC4	RC6	RC7	RC8	RC9
Raw Score:	34	2	4	17	2	10	6	1	10	1	1
T Score:	80	49	44	80	46	75	55	50	55	44	36
Response %:	95	100	100	100	100	93	100	93	100	100	100

Comparison Group Data: Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men), N = 233

Mean Score (◇----◇):	65	55	59	64	56	62	59	57	60	57	56
Standard Dev (±1 SD):	12	12	12	12	12	13	11	12	11	13	11
Percent scoring at or below test taker:	91	41	13	100	26	88	46	35	50	22	3

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.

EID Emotional/Internalizing Dysfunction
THD Thought Dysfunction
BXD Behavioral/Externalizing Dysfunction
RCd Demoralization
RC1 Somatic Complaints
RC2 Low Positive Emotions
RC4 Antisocial Behavior
RC6 Ideas of Persecution
RC7 Dysfunctional Negative Emotions
RC8 Aberrant Experiences
RC9 Hypomanic Activation

MMPI-3 Somatic/Cognitive Dysfunction and Internalizing Scales



	MLS	NUC	EAT	COG	**SUI**	HLP	SFD	NFC	STR	WRY	CMP	ARX	ANP	BRF
Raw Score:	5	0	0	1	3	7	7	9	5	6	3	7	3	2
T Score:	59	38	44	46	**72**	86	78	77	68	65	49	59	51	63
Response %:	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	**88**	100	92	100

Comparison Group Data: Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men), N = 233

	MLS	NUC	EAT	COG	SUI	HLP	SFD	NFC	STR	WRY	CMP	ARX	ANP	BRF
Mean Score (◇- - -◇):	56	56	50	62	58	58	63	60	60	61	55	61	57	53
Standard Dev (±1 SD):	12	12	10	11	15	15	13	11	11	11	12	11	12	10
Percent scoring at or below test taker:	74	15	66	10	85	100	100	100	82	63	44	55	36	91

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.

MLS	Malaise	SUI	Suicidal/Death Ideation	WRY	Worry
NUC	Neurological Complaints	HLP	Helplessness/Hopelessness	CMP	Compulsivity
EAT	Eating Concerns	SFD	Self-Doubt	ARX	Anxiety-Related Experiences
COG	Cognitive Complaints	NFC	Inefficacy	ANP	Anger Proneness
		STR	Stress	BRF	Behavior-Restricting Fears

MMPI-3 Externalizing and Interpersonal Scales



	FML	JCP	SUB	IMP	ACT	AGG	CYN	SFI	DOM	DSF	SAV	SHY
Raw Score:	5	1	4	1	1	1	9	1	1	4	5	6
T Score:	59	48	58	45	41	49	55	37	34	58	55	69
Response %:	**80**	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	**89**	**57**	**78**	100

Comparison Group Data: Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men), N = 233

	FML	JCP	SUB	IMP	ACT	AGG	CYN	SFI	DOM	DSF	SAV	SHY
Mean Score (◇- - -◇):	56	58	57	60	53	55	53	46	47	56	56	56
Standard Dev (±1 SD):	12	12	12	13	11	13	11	9	10	11	12	11
Percent scoring at or below test taker:	72	29	63	19	16	45	71	18	5	73	59	92

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.

FML	Family Problems
JCP	Juvenile Conduct Problems
SUB	Substance Abuse
IMP	Impulsivity
ACT	Activation
AGG	Aggression
CYN	Cynicism
SFI	Self-Importance
DOM	Dominance
DSF	Disaffiliativeness
SAV	Social Avoidance
SHY	Shyness

MMPI-3 PSY-5 Scales



	AGGR	PSYC	DISC	NEGE	INTR
Raw Score:	1	1	5	12	8
T Score:	31	47	50	68	60
Response %:	93	100	100	93	**86**

Comparison Group Data:	Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men), N =				233
Mean Score (◇- - -◇):	49	54	60	61	59
Standard Dev (±1 SD):	11	13	12	10	12
Percent scoring at or below test taker:	4	38	25	76	61

The highest and lowest T scores possible on each scale are indicated by a "---"; MMPI-3 T scores are non-gendered.

AGGR	Aggressiveness
PSYC	Psychoticism
DISC	Disconstraint
NEGE	Negative Emotionality/Neuroticism
INTR	Introversion/Low Positive Emotionality

MMPI-3 T SCORES (BY DOMAIN)

PROTOCOL VALIDITY

Content Non-Responsiveness	13 CNS	39 CRIN	39 VRIN	54 T TRIN				
Over-Reporting	66* F	50 Fp		47 Fs	51 FBS	58 RBS		
Under-Reporting	36 L	38 K						

SUBSTANTIVE SCALES

Somatic/Cognitive Dysfunction		46 RC1	59 MLS	38 NUC	44 EAT	46 COG			
Emotional Dysfunction	**80 EID**	**80 RCd**	**72 SUI**	**86 HLP**	**78 SFD**	**77 NFC**			
		75 RC2	60* INTR						
		55 RC7	**68 STR**	**65 WRY**	49* CMP	59 ARX	51 ANP	63 BRF	**68 NEGE**
Thought Dysfunction	49 THD	50 RC6							
		44 RC8							
		47 PSYC							
Behavioral Dysfunction	44 BXD	55 RC4	59* FML	48 JCP	58 SUB				
		36 RC9	45 IMP	41 ACT	49 AGG	55 CYN			
		50 DISC							
Interpersonal Functioning		**37 SFI**	34* DOM	31 AGGR	58* DSF	55* SAV	**69 SHY**		

*The test taker provided scorable responses to less than 90% of the items scored on this scale. See the relevant profile page for the specific percentage.

Scale scores shown in bold font are interpreted in the report.

Note. This information is provided to facilitate interpretation following the recommended structure for MMPI-3 interpretation in Chapter 5 of the *MMPI-3 Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation*, which provides details in the text and an outline in Table 5-1.

This interpretive report is intended for use by a professional qualified to interpret the MMPI-3. The information it contains should be considered in the context of the test taker's background, the circumstances of the assessment, and other available information.

The report includes extensive annotation, which appears as superscripts following each statement in the narrative, keyed to Endnotes with accompanying Research References, which appear in the final two sections of the report. Additional information about the annotation features is provided in the headnotes to these sections and in the MMPI-3 User's Guide for the Score and Clinical Interpretive Reports.

SYNOPSIS

Scores on the MMPI-3 Validity Scales raise concerns about the possible impact of unscorable responses on the validity of this protocol. With that caution noted, scores on the Substantive Scales indicate emotional, behavioral, and interpersonal dysfunction. Emotional-internalizing findings include **suicidal ideation**, demoralization, lack of positive emotions, helplessness and hopelessness, self-doubt, perceived inefficacy, negative emotionality, stress, and worry. Behavioral-externalizing problems relate to lack of energy and engagement. Interpersonal difficulties include lack of self-esteem and social anxiety.

PROTOCOL VALIDITY

Content Non-Responsiveness

Unscorable Responses

The test taker answered less than 90% of the items on the following scales. The resulting scores may therefore be artificially lowered. In particular, the absence of elevation on these scales is not interpretable[1]. A list of all items for which the test taker provided unscorable responses appears under the heading "Item-Level Information."

Infrequent Responses (F): 89%
Compulsivity (CMP): 88%
Family Problems (FML): 80%
Dominance (DOM): 89%
Disaffiliativeness (DSF): 57%
Social Avoidance (SAV): 78%
Introversion/Low Positive Emotionality (INTR): 86%

Inconsistent Responding

The test taker responded to the items in a consistent manner, indicating that he responded relevantly.

Over-Reporting

The test taker may have over-reported general psychological dysfunction. The extent of possible over-reporting cannot be precisely determined because of 4 unscorable responses on the 35-item Infrequent Responses (F) scale. The following table shows what the T scores for F would be if the unscorable items had been answered in the keyed direction.

Scale: F **T score based on scorable responses: 66** **Cutoff for over-reporting concern: 75**	
If answered in the keyed direction	The T score would be
1	69
2	72
3	**75**
4	**78**

See Chapter 5 of the *MMPI-3 Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation* for guidance on interpreting elevated scores on F.

Under-Reporting

There are no indications of under-reporting in this protocol.

SUBSTANTIVE SCALE INTERPRETATION

Clinical symptoms, personality characteristics, and behavioral tendencies of the test taker are described in this section and organized according to an empirically guided framework. (Please see Chapter 5 of the MMPI-3 Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation *for details.) Statements containing the word "reports" are based on the item content of MMPI-3 scales, whereas statements that include the word "likely" are based on empirical correlates of scale scores. Specific sources for each statement can be viewed with the annotation features of this report.*

The following interpretation needs to be considered in light of cautions noted about the possible impact of unscorable responses on the validity of this protocol.

Somatic/Cognitive Dysfunction

There are no indications of somatic or cognitive dysfunction in this protocol.

Emotional Dysfunction

The test taker reports a history of suicidal/death ideation and/or past suicide attempts[2]. He likely is at risk for self-harm[3], is preoccupied with suicide and death[4], and is at risk for current suicidal ideation and attempts[5].

His responses indicate considerable emotional distress that is likely to be perceived as a crisis[6]. More specifically, he reports experiencing significant demoralization, feeling overwhelmed, and being extremely unhappy, sad, and dissatisfied with his life[7]. He very likely complains about significant depression[8] and experiences sadness and despair[9]. In particular, he reports having lost hope and believing he cannot change and overcome his problems and is incapable of reaching his life goals[10]. He very likely feels hopeless, overwhelmed, and that life is a strain[11], believes he cannot be helped[11] and gets a raw deal from life[12], and lacks motivation for change[13]. He also reports lacking confidence, feeling worthless, and believing he is a burden to others[14]. He very likely experiences self-doubt, feels insecure and inferior, and is self-disparaging and intropunitive[15]. In addition, he reports being very indecisive and inefficacious, believing he is incapable of making decisions and dealing effectively with crisis situations, and even having difficulties dealing with small, inconsequential matters[16]. He very likely experiences subjective incompetence and shame[17] and lacks perseverance and self-reliance[18].

The test taker reports a lack of positive emotional experiences and a lack of interest[19]. He likely is pessimistic[20] and presents with anhedonia[21].

He reports experiencing an elevated level of negative emotionality[22] and indeed likely experiences various negative emotions[23]. More specifically, he reports an above average level of stress[24]. He likely complains about

stress[25] and feels incapable of controlling his anxiety level[25]. He also reports excessive worry, including worries about misfortune and finances, as well as preoccupation with disappointments[26]. He indeed likely worries excessively[27] and ruminates[28].

Thought Dysfunction

There are no indications of disordered thinking in this protocol.

Behavioral Dysfunction

There are no indications of maladaptive externalizing behavior in this protocol. The test taker reports a low energy level[29] and indeed likely has a low energy level[30] and is disengaged from his normal activities[30].

Interpersonal Functioning Scales

The test taker describes himself as lacking in positive qualities[31].

He reports being shy, easily embarrassed, and uncomfortable around others[32]. He is likely to be socially introverted[33] and inhibited[34], anxious and nervous in social situations[35], and viewed by others as socially awkward[36].

DIAGNOSTIC CONSIDERATIONS

*This section provides recommendations for psychodiagnostic assessment based on the test taker's MMPI-3 results. It is recommended that he be evaluated for the following**, bearing in mind possible threats to protocol validity noted earlier in this report**:*

Emotional-Internalizing Disorders

- Major depression and other anhedonia-related disorders[37]
- Features of personality disorders involving negative emotionality such as Dependent[38]
- Generalized anxiety disorder[25]
- Disorders involving excessive worry[39]

Interpersonal Disorders

- Social anxiety disorder (social phobia)[40]

TREATMENT CONSIDERATIONS

This section provides inferential treatment-related recommendations based on the test taker's MMPI-3 scores. ***The following recommendations need to be considered in light of cautions noted earlier about possible threats to protocol validity.***

Areas for Further Evaluation

- **Risk for suicide should be assessed immediately**[41].
- Need for antidepressant medication[42].

Psychotherapy Process Issues

- Serious emotional difficulties may motivate him for treatment[43].
- Indecisiveness may interfere with establishing treatment goals and progress in treatment[44].

Possible Targets for Treatment

- Demoralization as an initial target[45]
- Loss of hope and feelings of despair as early targets for intervention[46]
- Low self-esteem and other manifestations of self-doubt[47]
- Anhedonia[48]
- Developing stress management skills[49]
- Excessive worry and rumination[39]
- Anxiety in social situations[40]

Note

Test items are included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report.

ITEM-LEVEL INFORMATION

Unscorable Responses

Following is a list of items to which the test taker did not provide scorable responses. Unanswered or double answered (both True and False) items are unscorable. The scale(s) on which the items appear are in parentheses following the item content.

37. Item content omitted. (CRIN, VRIN, SAV, INTR)
52. Item content omitted. (CMP)
67. Item content omitted. (CRIN, TRIN, F, DSF)
145. Item content omitted. (CRIN, TRIN, F, FML)
175. Item content omitted. (CRIN, VRIN, DSF)
193. Item content omitted. (FBS)
197. Item content omitted. (DOM, AGGR)
222. Item content omitted. (EID, RC2, SAV, INTR)
268. Item content omitted. (RBS, L)
280. Item content omitted. (F, FML)
291. Item content omitted. (CRIN, VRIN, DSF)
293. Item content omitted. (CRIN, VRIN, EID, ANP, NEGE)
310. Item content omitted. (F, RC6)

Critical Responses

Seven MMPI-3 scales—Suicidal/Death Ideation (SUI), Helplessness/Hopelessness (HLP), Anxiety-Related Experiences (ARX), Ideas of Persecution (RC6), Aberrant Experiences (RC8), Substance Abuse (SUB), and Aggression (AGG)—have been designated by the test authors as having critical item content that may require immediate attention and follow-up. Items answered by the individual in the keyed direction (True or False) on a critical scale are listed below if his T score on that scale is 65 or higher. However, any item answered in the keyed direction on SUI is listed. The percentage of the MMPI-3 normative sample (NS) and of the Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men) Comparison Group (CG) that answered each item in the keyed direction are provided in parentheses following the item content.

Suicidal/Death Ideation (SUI, T Score = 72)

38. Item content omitted. (True; NS 22.2%, CG 43.3%)
93. Item content omitted. (True; NS 8.1%, CG 25.8%)
120. Item content omitted. (True; NS 2.5%, CG 20.2%)

Helplessness/Hopelessness (HLP, T Score = 86)

118. Item content omitted. (True; NS 10.9%, CG 21.9%)
169. Item content omitted. (True; NS 8.7%, CG 37.3%)
214. Item content omitted. (True; NS 12.3%, CG 23.6%)

224. Item content omitted. (True; NS 4.6%, CG 28.3%)
238. Item content omitted. (True; NS 45.4%, CG 50.6%)
282. Item content omitted. (False; NS 22.0%, CG 40.8%)
296. Item content omitted. (True; NS 8.4%, CG 27.9%)

User-Designated Item-Level Information

The following item-level information is based on the report user's selection of additional scales, and/or of lower cutoffs for the critical scales from the previous section. Items answered by the test taker in the keyed direction (True or False) on a selected scale are listed below if his T score on that scale is at the user-designated cutoff score or higher. The percentage of the MMPI-3 normative sample (NS) and of the Outpatient, Community Mental Health Center (Men) Comparison Group (CG) that answered each item in the keyed direction are provided in parentheses following the item content.

Demoralization (RCd, T Score = 80)

17. Item content omitted. (True; NS 11.3%, CG 50.6%)
22. Item content omitted. (True; NS 44.5%, CG 82.8%)
30. Item content omitted. (True; NS 14.9%, CG 55.8%)
48. Item content omitted. (True; NS 29.4%, CG 66.1%)
89. Item content omitted. (True; NS 41.0%, CG 74.7%)
105. Item content omitted. (False; NS 15.7%, CG 60.9%)
144. Item content omitted. (True; NS 35.3%, CG 68.2%)
152. Item content omitted. (True; NS 23.9%, CG 51.5%)
158. Item content omitted. (True; NS 21.9%, CG 58.4%)
172. Item content omitted. (True; NS 21.5%, CG 59.7%)
187. Item content omitted. (True; NS 58.0%, CG 86.7%)
204. Item content omitted. (True; NS 27.8%, CG 67.8%)
217. Item content omitted. (False; NS 46.0%, CG 75.1%)
229. Item content omitted. (True; NS 28.7%, CG 70.8%)
260. Item content omitted. (True; NS 25.7%, CG 61.8%)
288. Item content omitted. (True; NS 32.0%, CG 69.5%)
331. Item content omitted. (True; NS 22.0%, CG 60.5%)

Note

Test items are included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report.

Low Positive Emotions (RC2, T Score = 75)

1. Item content omitted. (False; NS 17.9%, CG 57.1%)
20. Item content omitted. (False; NS 27.2%, CG 51.1%)
47. Item content omitted. (False; NS 41.2%, CG 59.7%)
62. Item content omitted. (False; NS 29.7%, CG 50.6%)
78. Item content omitted. (True; NS 13.2%, CG 49.8%)
83. Item content omitted. (False; NS 7.3%, CG 36.1%)
246. Item content omitted. (False; NS 9.1%, CG 27.9%)
282. Item content omitted. (False; NS 22.0%, CG 40.8%)
302. Item content omitted. (False; NS 33.5%, CG 51.9%)
323. Item content omitted. (False; NS 27.0%, CG 48.9%)

Self-Doubt (SFD, T Score = 78)

17. Item content omitted. (True; NS 11.3%, CG 50.6%)
48. Item content omitted. (True; NS 29.4%, CG 66.1%)
89. Item content omitted. (True; NS 41.0%, CG 74.7%)
184. Item content omitted. (True; NS 11.8%, CG 52.4%)
229. Item content omitted. (True; NS 28.7%, CG 70.8%)
249. Item content omitted. (True; NS 14.6%, CG 42.9%)
288. Item content omitted. (True; NS 32.0%, CG 69.5%)

Inefficacy (NFC, T Score = 77)

27. Item content omitted. (True; NS 37.7%, CG 67.0%)
68. Item content omitted. (True; NS 45.2%, CG 63.1%)
108. Item content omitted. (True; NS 42.3%, CG 62.2%)
144. Item content omitted. (True; NS 35.3%, CG 68.2%)
152. Item content omitted. (True; NS 23.9%, CG 51.5%)
198. Item content omitted. (True; NS 25.2%, CG 47.2%)
274. Item content omitted. (True; NS 29.0%, CG 66.5%)
299. Item content omitted. (True; NS 20.9%, CG 48.5%)
324. Item content omitted. (True; NS 40.2%, CG 62.7%)

Stress (STR, T Score = 68)

8. Item content omitted. (False; NS 31.7%, CG 54.9%)
73. Item content omitted. (False; NS 26.7%, CG 53.6%)
112. Item content omitted. (True; NS 30.9%, CG 57.9%)
128. Item content omitted. (True; NS 31.6%, CG 66.1%)
234. Item content omitted. (False; NS 58.8%, CG 89.3%)

Worry (WRY, T Score = 65)

29. Item content omitted. (True; NS 42.5%, CG 79.0%)
98. Item content omitted. (True; NS 26.3%, CG 60.9%)
123. Item content omitted. (True; NS 40.6%, CG 71.7%)
286. Item content omitted. (True; NS 54.0%, CG 84.1%)
309. Item content omitted. (True; NS 57.8%, CG 76.8%)
335. Item content omitted. (True; NS 50.9%, CG 83.3%)

Shyness (SHY, T Score = 69)

44. Item content omitted. (True; NS 27.8%, CG 56.7%)
90. Item content omitted. (True; NS 29.1%, CG 46.8%)
114. Item content omitted. (True; NS 38.0%, CG 56.7%)
177. Item content omitted. (True; NS 38.6%, CG 63.9%)
225. Item content omitted. (True; NS 52.2%, CG 66.5%)
295. Item content omitted. (False; NS 32.3%, CG 52.4%)

Negative Emotionality/Neuroticism (NEGE, T Score = 68)

26. Item content omitted. (True; NS 31.2%, CG 77.3%)
73. Item content omitted. (False; NS 26.7%, CG 53.6%)
75. Item content omitted. (True; NS 16.9%, CG 44.2%)
98. Item content omitted. (True; NS 26.3%, CG 60.9%)
115. Item content omitted. (True; NS 38.4%, CG 67.4%)
123. Item content omitted. (True; NS 40.6%, CG 71.7%)
206. Item content omitted. (True; NS 46.0%, CG 66.5%)
228. Item content omitted. (True; NS 26.0%, CG 73.0%)
252. Item content omitted. (True; NS 35.8%, CG 75.1%)
263. Item content omitted. (True; NS 59.1%, CG 83.7%)
286. Item content omitted. (True; NS 54.0%, CG 84.1%)

Note

Test items are included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report.

335. Item content omitted. (True; NS 50.9%, CG 83.3%)

ENDNOTES

This section lists for each statement in the report the MMPI-3 score(s) that triggered it. In addition, each statement is identified as a Test Response, if based on item content, a Correlate, if based on empirical correlates, or an Inference, if based on the report authors' judgment. (This information can also be accessed on-screen by placing the cursor on a given statement.) For correlate-based statements, research references (Ref. No.) are provided, keyed to the consecutively numbered reference list following the endnotes.

[1] Correlate: Response % < 90, Ref. 12
[2] Test Response: SUI=72
[3] Correlate: SUI=72, Ref. 7, 26, 31
[4] Correlate: SUI=72, Ref. 4, 7, 20, 21, 30, 31, 32, 42, 45
[5] Correlate: SUI=72, Ref. 4, 7, 20, 21, 31, 42, 43, 45
[6] Correlate: EID=80, Ref. 7, 25, 33, 45
[7] Test Response: RCd=80
[8] Correlate: RCd=80, Ref. 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 29, 30, 34, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 50; RC2=75, Ref. 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 34, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 45, 46, 47, 49, 50
[9] Correlate: RCd=80, Ref. 7
[10] Test Response: HLP=86
[11] Correlate: HLP=86, Ref. 45
[12] Correlate: RCd=80, Ref. 45; HLP=86, Ref. 45
[13] Correlate: HLP=86, Ref. 7
[14] Test Response: SFD=78
[15] Correlate: SFD=78, Ref. 7, 45
[16] Test Response: NFC=77
[17] Correlate: NFC=77, Ref. 7
[18] Correlate: NFC=77, Ref. 10
[19] Test Response: RC2=75
[20] Correlate: RC2=75, Ref. 15, 40, 45; HLP=86, Ref. 45
[21] Correlate: RC2=75, Ref. 7, 45
[22] Test Response: NEGE=68
[23] Correlate: NEGE=68, Ref. 7
[24] Test Response: STR=68
[25] Correlate: STR=68, Ref. 7
[26] Test Response: WRY=65
[27] Correlate: WRY=65, Ref. 7
[28] Correlate: WRY=65, Ref. 7; SFD=78, Ref. 7, 45
[29] Test Response: RC9=36
[30] Correlate: RC9=36, Ref. 7, 45
[31] Test Response: SFI=37
[32] Test Response: SHY=69
[33] Correlate: SHY=69, Ref. 1, 2, 6, 7, 11
[34] Correlate: SHY=69, Ref. 1, 6, 7, 45
[35] Correlate: SHY=69, Ref. 6, 7, 10, 19, 30
[36] Correlate: SHY=69, Ref. 7, 45
[37] Correlate: RCd=80, Ref. 7, 22, 27, 28, 35, 41, 45, 48; RC2=75, Ref. 7, 22, 27, 28, 35, 41, 45, 48
[38] Correlate: NEGE=68, Ref. 3, 7, 39
[39] Inference: WRY=65
[40] Inference: SHY=69
[41] Inference: SUI=72
[42] Correlate: RC2=75, Ref. 7
[43] Inference: EID=80; RCd=80; NEGE=68
[44] Inference: NFC=77
[45] Inference: RCd=80

[46] Inference: HLP=86
[47] Inference: SFD=78
[48] Inference: RC2=75
[49] Inference: STR=68

RESEARCH REFERENCE LIST

The following studies are sources for empirical correlates identified in the Endnotes section of this report.

1. Anderson, J. L., Sellbom, M., Ayearst, L., Quilty, L. C., Chmielewski, M., & Bagby, R. M. (2015). Associations between DSM-5 Section III personality traits and the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory 2-Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF) scales in a psychiatric patient sample. *Psychological Assessment, 27*(3), 801–815. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000096

2. Anderson, J. L., Sellbom, M., Pymont, C., Smid, W., De Saeger, H., & Kamphuis, J. H. (2015). Measurement of DSM-5 Section II personality disorder constructs using the MMPI-2-RF in clinical and forensic samples. *Psychological Assessment, 27*(3), 786–800. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000103

3. Anderson, J. L., Wood, M. E., Tarescavage, A. M., Burchett, D., & Glassmire, D. M. (2018). The role of dimensional personality psychopathology in a forensic inpatient psychiatric setting. *Journal of Personality Disorders, 32*(4), 447–464. https://doi.org/10.1521/pedi_2017_31_301

4. Anestis, J. C., Finn, J. A., Gottfried, E. D., Hames, J. L., Bodell, L. P., Hagan, C. R., Arnau, R. C., Anestis, M. D., Arbisi, P. A., & Joiner, T. E. (2018). Burdonesomeness, belongingness, and capability: Assessing the interpersonal-psychological theory of suicide with MMPI-2-RF scales. *Assessment, 25*(4), 415–431. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191116652227

5. Arbisi, P. A., Sellbom, M., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2008). Empirical correlates of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales in psychiatric inpatients. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 90*(2), 122–128. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890701845146

6. Ayearst, L. E., Sellbom, M., Trobst, K. K., & Bagby, R. M. (2013). Evaluating the interpersonal content of the MMPI-2-RF Interpersonal Scales. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 95*(2), 187–196. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2012.730085

7. Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Tellegen, A. (2020). *The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-3 (MMPI-3): Technical manual.* University of Minnesota Press.

8. Binford, A., & Liljequist, L. (2008). Behavioral correlates of selected MMPI-2 Clinical, Content, and Restructured Clinical scales. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 90*(6), 608–614. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890802388657

9. Block, A. R., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Marek, R. J. (2013). Psychological risk factors for poor outcome of spine surgery and spinal cord stimulator implant: A review of the literature and their assessment with the MMPI-2-RF. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 27*(1), 81–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2012.721007

10. Burchett, D. L., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2010). The impact of over-reporting on MMPI-2-RF substantive scale score validity. *Assessment, 17*(4), 497–516. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191110378972

11. Crighton, A. H., Tarescavage, A. M., Gervais, R. O., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2017). The generalizability of over-reporting across self-report measures: An investigation with the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2-Restructured Form and the Personality Assessment Inventory in a civil disability sample. *Assessment, 24*(5), 555–574. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191115621791

12. Dragon, W. R., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Handel, R. W. (2012). Examining the impact of unscorable item responses on the validity and interpretability of MMPI-2/MMPI-2-RF Restructured Clinical (RC) Scale scores. *Assessment, 19*(1), 101–113. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191111415362

13. Erbes, C. R., Polusny, M. A., Arbisi, P. A., & Koffel, E. (2012). PTSD symptoms in a cohort of National Guard Soldiers deployed to Iraq: Evidence for nonspecific and specific components. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 142*(1–3), 269–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.05.013

14. Finn, J. A., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Tellegen, A. (2015). Dichotomous versus polytomous response options in psychopathology assessment: Method or meaningful variance? *Psychological Assessment, 27*(1), 184–193. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000044

15. Forbey, J. D., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2007). A comparison of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) and Clinical Scales in a substance abuse treatment sample. *Psychological Services, 4*(1), 46–58. https://doi.org/10.1037/1541-1559.4.1.46

16. Forbey, J. D., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2008). Empirical correlates of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales in a non-clinical setting. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 90*(2), 136–141. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890701845161

17. Forbey, J. D., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Arbisi, P. A. (2012). The MMPI-2 computer adaptive version (MMPI-2-CA) in a Veterans Administration medical outpatient facility. *Psychological Assessment, 24*(3), 628–639. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026509

18. Forbey, J. D., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Gartland, D. (2009). Validation of the MMPI-2 Computerized Adaptive version (MMPI-2-CA) in a correctional intake facility. *Psychological Services, 6*(4), 279–292. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016195

19. Forbey, J. D., Lee, T. T. C., & Handel, R. W. (2010). Correlates of the MMPI-2-RF in a college setting. *Psychological Assessment, 22*(4), 737–744. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020645

20. Glassmire, D. M, Tarescavage, A. M., Burchett, D., Martinez, J., & Gomez, A. (2016). Clinical utility of the MMPI-2-RF SUI items and scale in a forensic inpatient setting: Association with interview self-reports and future suicidal behavior. *Psychological Assessment, 28*(11), 1502–1509. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000220

21. Gottfried, E., Bodell, L., Carbonell, J., & Joiner, T. (2014). The clinical utility of the MMPI-2-RF Suicidal/Death Ideation Scale. *Psychological Assessment, 26*(4), 1205–1211. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000017

22. Haber, J. C., & Baum, L. J. (2014). Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF) Scales as predictors of psychiatric diagnoses. *South African Journal of Psychology, 44*(4), 439–453. https://doi.org/10.1177/0081246314532788

23. Handel, R. W., & Archer, R. P. (2008). An investigation of the psychometric properties of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales with mental health inpatients. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 90*(3), 239–249. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890701884954

24. Kamphuis, J. H., Arbisi, P. A., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & McNulty, J. L. (2008). Detecting comorbid Axis-II status among inpatients using the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical Scales. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 24,* 157–164. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.24.3.157

25. Lanyon, R. I., & Thomas, M. L. (2013). Assessment of global psychiatric categories: The PSI/PSI-2 and the MMPI-2-RF. *Psychological Assessment, 25*(1), 227–232. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030313

26. Laurinaityte, I., Laurinavicius, A., Ustinaviciute, L., Wygant, D. B., Sellbom, M. (2017). Utility of the MMPI-2 Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF) in a sample of Lithuanian male offenders. *Law and Human Behavior, 41*(5), 494–505. https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000254

27. Lee, T. T. C., Graham, J. R., & Arbisi, P. A. (2018). The utility of MMPI-2-RF scale scores in the differential diagnosis of schizophrenia and major depressive disorder. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 100*(3), 305–312. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2017.1300906

28. McCord, D. M., & Drerup, L. C. (2011). Relative practical utility of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 Restructured Clinical Scales versus the Clinical Scales in a chronic pain patient sample. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 33*(1), 140–146. https://doi.org/10.1080/13803395.2010.495056

29. McDevitt-Murphy, M. E., Weathers, F. W., Flood, A. M., Eakin, D. E., & Benson, T. A. (2007). The utility of the PAI and the MMPI-2 for discriminating PTSD, depression, and social phobia in trauma-exposed college students. *Assessment, 14*(2), 181–195. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191106295914

30. Menton, W. H., Crighton, A. H., Tarescavage, A. M., Marek, R. J., Hicks, A. D., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2019). Equivalence of laptop and tablet administrations of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 Restructured Form. *Assessment, 26*(4), 661–669. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191117714558

31. Miller, S. N., Bozzay, M. L., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Arbisi, P. A. (2019). Distinguishing levels of suicide risk in depressed male veterans: The role of internalizing and externalizing psychopathology as measured by the MMPI-2-RF. *Assessment, 26*(1), 85–98. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191117743787

32. Rogers, M. L., Anestis, J. C., Harrop, T. M., Schneider, M., Bender, T. W., Ringer, F. B., & Joiner, T. E. (2017). Examination of MMPI-2-RF substantive scales as indicators of acute suicidal affective disturbance components. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 99*(4), 424–434. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2016.1222393

33. Romero, I. E., Toorabally, N., Burchett, D., Tarescavage, A. M., & Glassmire, D. M. (2017). Mapping the MMPI-2-RF substantive scales onto, internalizing, externalizing, and thought dysfunction dimensions in a forensic inpatient setting. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 99*(4), 351–362. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2016.1223681

34. Sellbom, M., Anderson, J. L., & Bagby, R. M. (2013). Assessing DSM-5 Section III personality traits and disorders with the MMPI-2-RF. *Assessment, 20*(6), 709–722. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191113508808

35. Sellbom, M., Bagby, R. M., Kushner, S., Quilty, L. C., & Ayearst, L. E. (2011). Diagnostic construct validity of the MMPI-2 Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF) scale scores. *Assessment, 19*(2), 176–186. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191111428763

36. Sellbom, M., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Bagby, R. M. (2008). On the hierarchical structure of mood and anxiety disorders: Confirmatory evidence and elaboration of a model of temperament markers. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 117*(3), 576–590. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012536

37. Sellbom, M., Ben-Porath, Y. S., & Graham, J. R. (2006). Correlates of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales in a college counseling setting. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 86*(1), 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa8601_10

38. Sellbom, M., Graham, J. R., & Schenk, P. W. (2006). Incremental validity of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales in a private practice sample. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 86*(2), 196–205. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa8602_09

39. Sellbom, M., & Smith, A. (2017). Assessment of DSM-5 Section II personality disorders with the MMPI-2-RF in a nonclinical sample. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 99*(4), 384–397. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2016.1242074

40. Shkalim, E. (2015). Psychometric evaluation of the MMPI-2/MMPI-2-RF Restructured Clinical Scales in an Israeli sample. *Assessment, 22*(4), 607–618. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191114555884

41. Simms, L. J., Casillas, A., Clark, L. A., Watson, D., & Doebbeling, B. N. (2005). Psychometric evaluation of the Restructured Clinical Scales of the MMPI-2. *Psychological Assessment, 17*(3), 345–358. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.17.3.345

42. Stanley, I. H., Yancey, J. R., Patrick, C. J., & Joiner, T. E. (2018). A distinct configuration of MMPI-2-RF scales RCd and RC9/ACT is associated with suicide attempt risk among suicide ideators in a psychiatric outpatient sample. *Psychological Assessment, 30*(9), 1249–1254. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000588

43. Tarescavage, A. M., Glassmire, D. M., & Burchett, D. (2018). Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2-Restructured Form markers of future suicidal behavior in a forensic psychiatric hospital. *Psychological Assessment, 30*(2), 170–178. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000463

44. Tarescavage, A. M., Scheman, J., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2015). Reliability and validity of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2-Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF) in evaluations of chronic low back pain patients. *Psychological Assessment, 27*(2), 433–446. https://doi.org/10.1037/pas0000056

45. Tellegen, A., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2008/2011). *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2-Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF): Technical manual.* University of Minnesota Press.

46. Tellegen, A., Ben-Porath, Y. S., Sellbom, M., Arbisi, P. A., McNulty, J. L., & Graham, J. R. (2006). Further evidence on the validity of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales: Addressing questions raised by Rogers, Sewell, Harrison, and Jordan and Nichols. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 87,*(2), 148–171. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa8702_04

47. Vachon, D. D., Sellbom, M., Ryder, A. G., Miller, J. D., & Bagby, R. M. (2009). A five-factor model description of depressive personality disorder. *Journal of Personality Disorders, 23*(5), 447–465. https://doi.org/10.1521/pedi.2009.23.5.447

48. Van der Heijden, P. T., Egger, J. I. M., Rossi, G. M. P., Grundel, G., & Derksen, J. J. L. (2013). The MMPI-2-Restructured Form and the standard MMPI-2 Clinical Scales in relation to DSM-IV. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 29*(3), 182–188. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000140

49. Wolf, E. J., Miller, M. W., Orazem, R. J., Weierich, M. R., Castillo, D. T., Milford, J., Kaloupek, D. G., & Keane, T. M. (2008). The MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical Scales in the assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid disorders. *Psychological Assessment, 20*(4), 327–340. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012948

50. Wygant, D. B., Boutacoff, L. I., Arbisi, P. A., Ben-Porath, Y. S., Kelly, P. H., & Rupp, W. M. (2007). Examination of the MMPI-2 Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales in a sample of bariatric surgery candidates. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings, 14*(3), 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-007-9073-8

End of Report

ITEM RESPONSES

1. 2	2. 1	3. 2	4. 2	5. 1	6. 2	7. 2	8. 2	9. 2	10. 1
11. 2	12. 2	13. 2	14. 1	15. 2	16. 1	17. 1	18. 2	19. 2	20. 2
21. 1	22. 1	23. 1	24. 1	25. 2	26. 1	27. 1	28. 2	29. 1	30. 1
31. 2	32. 1	33. 2	34. 2	35. 2	36. 2	37. /	38. 1	39. 2	40. 1
41. 2	42. 1	43. 2	44. 1	45. 1	46. 2	47. 2	48. 1	49. 1	50. 1
51. 2	52. /	53. 2	54. 1	55. 2	56. 1	57. 2	58. 1	59. 1	60. 2
61. 1	62. 2	63. 1	64. 1	65. 1	66. 2	67. /	68. 1	69. 1	70. 2
71. 2	72. 1	73. 2	74. 2	75. 1	76. 2	77. 2	78. 1	79. 2	80. 1
81. 2	82. 2	83. 2	84. 2	85. 1	86. 1	87. 1	88. 1	89. 1	90. 1
91. 2	92. 2	93. 1	94. 2	95. 1	96. 2	97. 1	98. 1	99. 1	100. 2
101. 2	102. 1	103. 2	104. 1	105. 2	106. 2	107. 1	108. 1	109. 2	110. 2
111. 2	112. 1	113. 1	114. 1	115. 1	116. 2	117. 1	118. 1	119. 2	120. 1
121. 1	122. 2	123. 1	124. 2	125. 1	126. 2	127. 1	128. 1	129. 2	130. 2
131. 2	132. 1	133. 2	134. 1	135. 2	136. 2	137. 2	138. 1	139. 2	140. 1
141. 1	142. 2	143. 2	144. 1	145. /	146. 2	147. 2	148. 2	149. 2	150. 2
151. 1	152. 1	153. 2	154. 1	155. 2	156. 1	157. 1	158. 1	159. 2	160. 2
161. 2	162. 1	163. 2	164. 2	165. 2	166. 2	167. 2	168. 2	169. 1	170. 2
171. 1	172. 1	173. 2	174. 2	175. /	176. 2	177. 1	178. 2	179. 2	180. 2
181. 2	182. 2	183. 1	184. 1	185. 2	186. 1	187. 1	188. 2	189. 2	190. 2
191. 2	192. 1	193. /	194. 2	195. 1	196. 1	197. /	198. 1	199. 1	200. 2
201. 1	202. 2	203. 2	204. 1	205. 2	206. 1	207. 2	208. 2	209. 2	210. 1
211. 2	212. 2	213. 1	214. 1	215. 1	216. 2	217. 2	218. 2	219. 2	220. 2
221. 1	222. /	223. 2	224. 1	225. 1	226. 1	227. 1	228. 1	229. 1	230. 2
231. 2	232. 2	233. 2	234. 2	235. 1	236. 2	237. 1	238. 1	239. 2	240. 2
241. 2	242. 2	243. 1	244. 2	245. 2	246. 2	247. 1	248. 2	249. 1	250. 2
251. 2	252. 1	253. 2	254. 2	255. 2	256. 1	257. 2	258. 2	259. 2	260. 1
261. 2	262. 2	263. 1	264. 2	265. 1	266. 2	267. 2	268. /	269. 2	270. 2
271. 2	272. 1	273. 2	274. 1	275. 2	276. 2	277. 2	278. 1	279. 2	280. /
281. 2	282. 2	283. 1	284. 2	285. 2	286. 1	287. 2	288. 1	289. 2	290. 2
291. /	292. 2	293. /	294. 2	295. 2	296. 1	297. 1	298. 1	299. 1	300. 1
301. 2	302. 2	303. 1	304. 1	305. 2	306. 2	307. 1	308. 2	309. 1	310. /
311. 2	312. 2	313. 1	314. 2	315. 1	316. 2	317. 2	318. 1	319. 2	320. 2
321. 2	322. 1	323. 2	324. 1	325. 1	326. 2	327. 2	328. 1	329. 2	330. 2
331. 1	332. 2	333. 1	334. 1	335. 1					